

~~SECRET~~

DIARY NOTES

DD/S



9 March 1965

25X1A


1. At the Executive Committee Meeting this morning:

a. There was again some discussion about [REDACTED] proposed chairmanship of the USIB Technical Surveillance Subcommittee. The Director said that, since both the Departments of Defense and State are pretty sensitive about this appointment, he wanted to discuss it with Cyrus Vance and George Ball before it is formalized at a USIB meeting.

25X1A9a

b. Larry Houston called the attention of the group to new provisions in the income tax law which provide for "income averaging." He said that he thought this might be of interest to many employees, particularly supergrades.

25X1C4a



25X1A9a


2. [REDACTED] telephoned regarding the following items:

25X1A9a

a. [REDACTED] said that he has been unable to find a way to get radio reception in the barber shop. However, he suggested that a telephone line be run from the Signal Center to pipe in music at a line charge of about \$3 a month. (I asked [REDACTED] to proceed with this.)

25X1A9a

25X1C4a



~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

25X1A9a

c. I talked to [REDACTED] about the burden imposed upon our field stations by poking tapes for non-CIA agencies. We confirmed that our policy should be hard-nosed and that we should poke tapes for other agencies only when the number of people who are required for our own operation can absorb the workload. Whenever tape-poking for someone else requires us to employ an additional man, we should definitely decline to do so.

3. Emmett Echols talked to me about the following items:

a. We discussed my suggestion that we catalogue the number of people in the Agency who have blood relatives working here. He said that this would be quite a task but that it could be done. I asked him to proceed, although I said that he could put it on the back burner and that we would not impose any deadline at this time.

b. Emmett advised me that [REDACTED] our new female member of the Personnel Advisory Board, is up in arms because we have a physical fitness room for men but none for women. I asked him to tell her that we hope to have one for women sometime if the trial which we are going to run with the existing physical fitness room demonstrates that they have sufficient interest. She also wants a beauty parlor since we have a barber shop for men. I must admit that I am not as sympathetic to this request since I think it is seldom that a woman can use a beauty parlor and return to her office in a reasonable length of time. (I may be wrong about this, but I am still from Missouri!) Emmett suggested that we invite our grade GS-15 female employees to become members of the Executive Dining Room. I asked him to provide me a list of their names. (I think that this might be a good idea if there aren't too many.)

25X1A9a

4. Mr. Kirkpatrick buzzed to alert me that we would receive a letter written to Congressman Clarence D. Long of Maryland by Mr. Francis X. Moritz, the Director of Placement and Guidance at Loyola College in Baltimore. Mr. Moritz complained to the Congressman that [REDACTED] a CIA recruiter who made an appointment for 11 February 1964 to interview students interested in employment with CIA, did not show up or call to explain or apologize, and they have not heard from him since. (I alerted Emmett Echols to this so that we can respond promptly to the letter when we receive it.)

25X1A9a

5. I talked to John Clarke on the telephone regarding the following:

~~SECRET~~

SECRET


Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000500060078-0

a. I suggested to John that sometime he might interest himself in the question of whether there is unnecessary duplication of printing facilities between the Printing Services Division of the Office of Logistics and the Technical Services Division.

b. John told me that the question of centralization versus decentralization of computer facilities was still unsettled. This I recognized since Joe Becker has nothing that he didn't have under DD/S except the computer facility of the Office of Finance, and he could, of course, have had this without changing jurisdiction. At any rate, this is on the front burner again, and some policies will have to be made about whether Joe has any supervision or jurisdiction over WALNUT, the NPIC facilities, etc. (I believe there is nothing DD/S needs to concern itself with at this point.)

* * * * *

6. I talked with Emmett Echols about the following cases:



FOIAb5

b. Emmett told me about a slight flap between the Office of Personnel and the General Counsel about the case of [REDACTED] who is appealing his conviction for arson. I agreed with Emmett that the General Counsel stuck our necks out by taking the position that, since the

25X1A

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000500060078-0


SECRET

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000500060078-0

Time and Attendance Report showed [REDACTED] in a duty status on the date in question, he was, in fact, present in Washington. Emmett's prior statements, which I think were correct and carefully worded, said that the T and A Report did not show that he was absent from Washington but merely that he was in a duty status. He could have been anywhere, including the place where he is alleged to have committed a crime.

25X1A9a



25X1A

LKW:jrf

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000500060078-0

~~SECRET~~